Evaluation Form v3.2

| Importance of the topic presented in the article * | | |
|---|------------------|--|
| 0 | Very important | |
| 0 | Important | |
| 0 | Not as important | |
| Article innovation (originality) * | | |
| 0 | Excellent | |
| 0 | Good | |
| 0 | Regular | |
| 0 | Poor | |
| Description of the topic and presentation of the objectives * | | |
| 0 | Excellent | |
| 0 | Good | |
| 0 | Regular | |
| 0 | Poor | |
| Quality of the argument in the introduction * | | |
| 0 | Excellent | |
| 0 | Good | |
| 0 | Regular | |
| 0 | Poor | |
| Theoretical and / or technical soundness of the methodology * | | |
| 0 | Excellent | |
| 0 | Good | |
| 0 | Regular | |
| 0 | Poor | |
| Presentation and justification of the results * | | |
| 0 | Excellent | |
| 0 | Good | |
| 0 | Regular | |
| \circ | Poor | |

| 0 | Excellent | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 0 | Good | |
| 0 | Regular | |
| 0 | Poor | |
| Wri | ting quality * | |
| 0 | Excellent | |
| 0 | Good | |
| 0 | Regular | |
| 0 | Poor | |
| Leg | ibility of figures and tables * | |
| 0 | Excellent | |
| 0 | Good | |
| 0 | Regular | |
| | Poor | |
| 0 | N/A | |
| | liography * | |
| 0 | Excellent | |
| 0 | Good | |
| 0 | Regular | |
| 0 | Poor | |
| | ision * | |
| 0 | Accept as is | |
| 0 | Accept with minor revisions | |
| 0 | Needs major revisions | |
| 0 | Reject | |
| Comments for authors * | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Comment to the editors (not seen by the authors) * | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Conclusions *